Tessellations

Irena Swanson Reed College, Portland, Oregon

MathPath, Lewis & Clark College, Portland, Oregon, 24 July 2018

What is a tessellation?

A **tiling** or a **tessellation** of the plane is a covering of the plane with various (closed and countably many) shapes and with no overlaps and no gaps (other than overlaps on the boundaries of the shapes).

What is a tessellation?

A tiling or a tessellation of the plane is a covering of the plane with various (closed and countably many) shapes and with no overlaps and no gaps (other than overlaps on the boundaries of the shapes).

Some possible tiles:



M. C. Escher



M. C. Escher



M. C. Escher

















































For today allow only regular polygons for tiles.

For today allow only regular polygons for tiles. Some possible tessellations with regular polygons:





For today allow only regular polygons for tiles. Some possible tessellations with regular polygons:



For today allow only edge-to-edge tessellations (only the leftmost example).





Stack these rows, matching vertices, but vary the numbers of types of strips. You get uncountably many tessellations.



Stack these rows, matching vertices, but vary the numbers of types of strips. You get uncountably many tessellations.

Or tessellate with identical rows of hexagons and triangles in uncountably many ways by stacking them in two different ways:





Type of a vertex:





Type of a vertex:



For now we restrict attention to edge-to-edge tessellations by regular polygons in which each vertex has the same type.

Type of a vertex:



For now we restrict attention to edge-to-edge tessellations by regular polygons in which each vertex has the same type.

Such tessellations are called **semiregular** tessellations, or also **homogeneous** tessellations, **Archimedean** tessellations, **1-uniform** tessellations.

Some examples:

- (1) Type 4.4.4.4 (all squares)
- (2) Type 3.3.3.3.3.3 (all triangles)
- (3) Type 6.6.6 (all hexagons)
- (4) Type 3.6.3.6

Some examples:

- (1) Type 4.4.4.4 (all squares)
- (2) Type 3.3.3.3.3.3 (all triangles)
- (3) Type 6.6.6 (all hexagons)
- (4) Type 3.6.3.6
- (5) Type 3.3.3.4.4

Some examples:

- (1) Type 4.4.4.4 (all squares)
- (2) Type 3.3.3.3.3.3 (all triangles)
- (3) Type 6.6.6 (all hexagons)
- (4) Type 3.6.3.6
- (5) Type 3.3.3.4.4

There are others. How do we find them all?

Here is an *n*-gon:



Here is an n-gon:



The sum of all the angles in all n triangles is $n \cdot 180^{\circ}$,

Here is an n-gon:



The sum of all the angles in all n triangles is $n \cdot 180^{\circ}$, the sum of all the angles at the central vertex is 360° ,

Here is an n-gon:



The sum of all the angles in all n triangles is $n \cdot 180^{\circ}$, the sum of all the angles at the central vertex is 360° , so the sum of all interior angles in an n-gon is $(n-2)180^{\circ}$.

Here is an n-gon:



The sum of all the angles in all n triangles is $n \cdot 180^{\circ}$, the sum of all the angles at the central vertex is 360° , so the sum of all interior angles in an n-gon is $(n-2)180^{\circ}$.

Thus an interior angle in a regular *n*-gon measures $\frac{n-2}{n}180^{\circ}$.

From the previous page: An interior angle in a regular *n*-gon measures $\frac{n-2}{n}180^{\circ}$. From the previous page: An interior angle in a regular *n*-gon measures $\frac{n-2}{n}180^{\circ}$.

In a semiregular tessellation in which each vertex has type $n_1.n_2...n_r$, the angles of these gons have to add up to 360° .

From the previous page: An interior angle in a regular *n*-gon measures $\frac{n-2}{n}180^{\circ}$.

In a semiregular tessellation in which each vertex has type $n_1.n_2...n_r$, the angles of these gons have to add up to 360° .

Thus we get:

Theorem. In order for a regular n_1 -gon, n_2 -gon, ..., and an n_r -gon to meet at a vertex without overlaps and without gaps, it is necessary and sufficient that

$$\frac{n_1 - 2}{n_1} 180^\circ + \frac{n_2 - 2}{n_2} 180^\circ + \dots + \frac{n_r - 2}{n_r} 180^\circ = 360^\circ.$$

$$\frac{n_1 - 2}{n_1} + \frac{n_2 - 2}{n_2} + \dots + \frac{n_r - 2}{n_r} = 2.$$

$$\frac{n_1 - 2}{n_1} + \frac{n_2 - 2}{n_2} + \dots + \frac{n_r - 2}{n_r} = 2.$$

Thus

$$r - 2 = \frac{2}{n_1} + \frac{2}{n_2} + \dots + \frac{2}{n_r}$$

$$\frac{n_1 - 2}{n_1} + \frac{n_2 - 2}{n_2} + \dots + \frac{n_r - 2}{n_r} = 2.$$

Thus

$$r - 2 = \frac{2}{n_1} + \frac{2}{n_2} + \dots + \frac{2}{n_r}$$

$$\frac{n_1 - 2}{n_1} + \frac{n_2 - 2}{n_2} + \dots + \frac{n_r - 2}{n_r} = 2.$$

Thus

$$r - 2 = \frac{2}{n_1} + \frac{2}{n_2} + \dots + \frac{2}{n_r} \le \frac{2}{3} + \frac{2}{3} + \dots + \frac{2}{3} = r\frac{2}{3}$$

$$\frac{n_1 - 2}{n_1} + \frac{n_2 - 2}{n_2} + \dots + \frac{n_r - 2}{n_r} = 2.$$

Thus

$$r - 2 = \frac{2}{n_1} + \frac{2}{n_2} + \dots + \frac{2}{n_r} \le \frac{2}{3} + \frac{2}{3} + \dots + \frac{2}{3} = r\frac{2}{3}$$

so that

$$\frac{r}{3} = r - r\frac{2}{3} \le 2,$$

which means that $r \leq 6$.

$$r-2 = \frac{2}{n_1} + \frac{2}{n_2} + \dots + \frac{2}{n_r}$$

for r = 3, r = 4, r = 5 and r = 6.

$$r-2 = \frac{2}{n_1} + \frac{2}{n_2} + \dots + \frac{2}{n_r}$$

for r = 3, r = 4, r = 5 and r = 6.

This is now number theory, via case analysis. (These equations are Diophantine.)

$$r-2 = \frac{2}{n_1} + \frac{2}{n_2} + \dots + \frac{2}{n_r}$$

for r = 3, r = 4, r = 5 and r = 6.

This is now number theory, via case analysis. (These equations are Diophantine.) For example, let r = 3.

$$r - 2 = \frac{2}{n_1} + \frac{2}{n_2} + \dots + \frac{2}{n_r}$$

for r = 3, r = 4, r = 5 and r = 6.

This is now number theory, via case analysis. (These equations are Diophantine.)

For example, let r = 3. Then we need to find n_1, n_2 and n_3 with $3 - 2 = \frac{2}{n_1} + \frac{2}{n_2} + \frac{2}{n_3}$, i.e., with $\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2} + \frac{1}{n_3}$.

$$r-2 = \frac{2}{n_1} + \frac{2}{n_2} + \dots + \frac{2}{n_r}$$

for r = 3, r = 4, r = 5 and r = 6.

This is now number theory, via case analysis. (These equations are Diophantine.)

For example, let r = 3. Then we need to find n_1, n_2 and n_3 with $3 - 2 = \frac{2}{n_1} + \frac{2}{n_2} + \frac{2}{n_3}$, i.e., with $\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2} + \frac{1}{n_3}$.

If $n_1 \le n_2 \le n_3$, then $n_1 \le 6$.

$$r - 2 = \frac{2}{n_1} + \frac{2}{n_2} + \dots + \frac{2}{n_r}$$

for r = 3, r = 4, r = 5 and r = 6.

This is now number theory, via case analysis. (These equations are Diophantine.)

For example, let r = 3. Then we need to find n_1, n_2 and n_3 with $3 - 2 = \frac{2}{n_1} + \frac{2}{n_2} + \frac{2}{n_3}$, i.e., with $\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2} + \frac{1}{n_3}$.

If $n_1 \le n_2 \le n_3$, then $n_1 \le 6$.

If $n_1 = 3$, then we need to find n_2 and n_3 with $\frac{1}{6} = \frac{1}{n_2} + \frac{1}{n_3}$.

Solving
$$\frac{1}{6} = \frac{1}{n_2} + \frac{1}{n_3}$$
 (or $n_3 = 6 + \frac{36}{n_2 - 6}$):

Solving
$$\frac{1}{6} = \frac{1}{n_2} + \frac{1}{n_3}$$
 (or $n_3 = 6 + \frac{36}{n_2 - 6}$):

All solutions (with r = 3, $n_1 = 3 \le n_2 \le n_3$): $n_2 = 7$, $n_3 = 42$, $n_2 = 8$, $n_3 = 24$, $n_2 = 9$, $n_3 = 18$, $n_2 = 10$, $n_3 = 15$, $n_2 = 12$, $n_3 = 12$.

Solving
$$\frac{1}{6} = \frac{1}{n_2} + \frac{1}{n_3}$$
 (or $n_3 = 6 + \frac{36}{n_2 - 6}$):

All solutions (with r = 3, $n_1 = 3 \le n_2 \le n_3$): $n_2 = 7$, $n_3 = 42$, $n_2 = 8$, $n_3 = 24$, $n_2 = 9$, $n_3 = 18$, $n_2 = 10$, $n_3 = 15$, $n_2 = 12$, $n_3 = 12$.

Still need all solutions to $r-2 = \frac{2}{n_1} + \frac{2}{n_2} + \dots + \frac{2}{n_r}$ for r = 3, r = 4, r = 5 and r = 6. The complete list of integer solutions $n_1, \ldots, n_r \geq 3$ for the solutions of

$$\frac{n_1 - 2}{n_1} + \frac{n_2 - 2}{n_2} + \dots + \frac{n_r - 2}{n_r} = 2,$$

if we ignore the order of the n_i , is:

| $(1) \ \ 3,3,3,3,3,3,3$ | $(7) \hspace{0.2cm} 3,7,42$ | $(13) \ 4, 5, 20$ |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|
| $(2) \ \ 3,3,3,3,6$ | $(8) \ \ 3,8,24$ | (14) 4, 6, 12 |
| $(3) \ \ 3,3,3,4,4$ | $(9) \hspace{0.1in} 3,9,18$ | $(15) \ \ 4,8,8$ |
| $(4) \ \ 3,3,4,12$ | $(10) \hspace{0.1 cm} 3, 10, 15$ | $(16) \ 5, 5, 10$ |
| (5) 3, 3, 6, 6 | (11) 3, 12, 12 | (17) 6, 6, 6 |
| (6) 3, 4, 4, 6 | (12) 4,4,4,4 | |
| | | |

The complete list of integer solutions $n_1, \ldots, n_r \geq 3$ for the solutions of

$$\frac{n_1 - 2}{n_1} + \frac{n_2 - 2}{n_2} + \dots + \frac{n_r - 2}{n_r} = 2,$$

if we ignore the order of the n_i , is:

| (1) | 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3 | $(7) \ \ 3,7,42$ | (13) 4, 5, 20 |
|-----|---------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|
| (2) | 3, 3, 3, 3, 6 | $(8) \ 3, 8, 24$ | (14) 4, 6, 12 |
| (3) | 3, 3, 3, 4, 4 | $(9) \hspace{0.1in} 3,9,18$ | $(15) \ \ 4,8,8$ |
| (4) | 3, 3, 4, 12 | $(10) \hspace{0.2cm} 3, 10, 15$ | (16) 5, 5, 10 |
| (5) | 3, 3, 6, 6 | $(11) \hspace{0.1 in} 3, 12, 12$ | $(17) \ \ 6,6,6$ |
| (6) | 3, 4, 4, 6 | (12) 4, 4, 4, 4 | |

Warning: not all of these are realizable semiregular tessellations;

The complete list of integer solutions $n_1, \ldots, n_r \geq 3$ for the solutions of

$$\frac{n_1 - 2}{n_1} + \frac{n_2 - 2}{n_2} + \dots + \frac{n_r - 2}{n_r} = 2,$$

if we ignore the order of the n_i , is:

| $(1) \ \ 3,3,3,3,3,3,3$ | $(7) \ \ 3,7,42$ | (13) 4, 5, 20 |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|
| $(2) \ 3, 3, 3, 3, 6$ | $(8) \ 3, 8, 24$ | (14) 4, 6, 12 |
| $(3) \ 3, 3, 3, 4, 4$ | $(9) \hspace{0.1in} 3,9,18$ | $(15) \ \ 4,8,8$ |
| $(4) \ \ 3,3,4,12$ | $(10) \hspace{0.2cm} 3, 10, 15$ | (16) 5, 5, 10 |
| $(5) \ 3, 3, 6, 6$ | $(11) \ \ 3, 12, 12$ | $(17) \ \ 6,6,6$ |
| $(6) \ 3, 4, 4, 6$ | $(12) \ \ 4,4,4,4$ | |

Warning: not all of these are realizable semiregular tessellations; solution 3, 3, 3, 4, 4 yields two semiregular tessellations.

Eliminate some options

The vertex configuration 3, 3, 4, 12 on the list could yield vertex types 3.3.4.12 or 3.4.3.12. Neither is possible. I show how to eliminate 3.3.4.12.

Eliminate some options

The vertex configuration 3, 3, 4, 12 on the list could yield vertex types 3.3.4.12 or 3.4.3.12. Neither is possible. I show how to eliminate 3.3.4.12.



Eliminate some options

The vertex configuration 3, 3, 4, 12 on the list could yield vertex types 3.3.4.12 or 3.4.3.12. Neither is possible. I show how to eliminate 3.3.4.12. Forced to continue with a triangle:



but a triple triangle vertex is not allowed for 3, 3, 4, 12.

Theorem. There are 11 semi-regular tessellations up to translations, rotations and reflections:

| (1) 3.3.3.3.3.3 | $(5) \ 3.4.6.4$ | (9) 4.6.12 |
|-----------------|-------------------|------------|
| (2) 3.3.3.3.6 | $(6) \ \ 3.6.3.6$ | (10) 4.8.8 |
| (3) 3.3.3.4.4 | $(7) \ \ 3.12.12$ | (11) 6.6.6 |
| (4) 3.3.4.3.4 | (8) 4.4.4.4 | |

Theorem. There are 11 semi-regular tessellations up to translations, rotations and reflections:

| $(1) \hspace{0.1 cm} 3.3.3.3.3.3$ | $(5) \ 3.4.6.4$ |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|
| $(2) \ \ 3.3.3.3.6$ | $(6) \ \ 3.6.3.6$ |
| (3) 3.3.3.4.4 | (7) 3.12.12 |
| $(4) \ \ 3.3.4.3.4$ | (8) 4.4.4.4 |

$$\begin{array}{c} (9) \ \ 4.6.12 \\ (10) \ \ 4.8.8 \\ (11) \ \ 6.6.6 \end{array}$$

Compare to all solutions from before:

| (1) | 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3 | $(7) \ \ 3,7,42$ | (13) 4, 5, 20 |
|-----|---------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| (2) | 3, 3, 3, 3, 6 | $(8) \ \ 3,8,24$ | (14) 4, 6, 12 |
| (3) | 3, 3, 3, 4, 4 | $(9) \hspace{0.1in} 3,9,18$ | $(15) \ \ 4,8,8$ |
| (4) | 3, 3, 4, 12 | $(10) \ \ 3, 10, 15$ | $(16) \hspace{0.2cm} 5, 5, 10$ |
| (5) | 3, 3, 6, 6 | $(11) \hspace{0.15cm} 3, 12, 12$ | $(17) \ \ 6, 6, 6$ |
| (6) | 3, 4, 4, 6 | $(12) \ \ 4,4,4,4$ | |













| Γ | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| Γ | | | |





